

A Quarterly Review of Social Reports and Research on Social Indicators, Social Trends, and the Quality-of-Life.
News Included of Research Committee 55 on Social Indicators and Social Reporting of the International Sociological Association.

HAPPINESS, GROWTH, AND PUBLIC POLICY*

WEAI 2012 Presidential Address
RICHARD A. EASTERLIN

If society's goal is to increase people's feelings of well-being, economic growth in itself will not do the job. Full employment and a generous and comprehensive social safety net do increase happiness. Such policies are arguably affordable not only in higher income nations but also in countries that account for most of the population of the less-developed world. These conclusions are suggested by an analysis of a wide range of evidence on happiness in countries throughout the world. (JEL I31, I38, O21, F20, D60, E60)

I. INTRODUCTION

Happiness as a measure of well-being is gradually becoming more accepted by economists and policy makers.¹ It seems appropriate, therefore, to examine some of its implications for public policy. I will address three specific questions:

- 1 Are economic growth policies sufficient in themselves to raise people's happiness, that is, their subjective well-being (SWB)?
- 2 Are there other policies that might raise SWB?
- 3 Can poorer countries afford policies to raise SWB?

My approach, in answering these questions, is to draw on the available evidence, based partly on the happiness literature and partly on my own collaborative research. The answers suggested by the evidence are respectively, no, yes, and yes.

Since Pigou's (1932) classic study "The Economics of Welfare," economists have typically assumed that income growth, as indexed, say, by real gross domestic product (GDP) per capita, raises well-being. A major policy implication is that promoting economic growth advances human welfare.

The introduction of happiness measures into the discipline (Easterlin 1974) made it possible for the first time to test this proposition, and the result was surprising—in cross sectional data, happiness and income were positively correlated, as expected, but over time happiness seemingly did not increase despite substantial economic growth. The subsequent four decades have seen an explosion of empirical studies on this paradoxical result as more happiness data have accumulated, and much debate, pro and con (Clark, Frijters, and Shields 2008). The most frequently cited recent work questioning the paradox is Stevenson and Wolfers (2008). Subsequently, this has been updated by Sacks, Stevenson, and Wolfers (2012) and the latter article, referred to from now on as S-S-W, will be the one subsequently discussed. S-S-W report a positive time series relationship of happiness and income not significantly

(Continued on next page.)

In this Issue...

THE RICHARD J. ESTES LECTURE:	
Happiness, Growth, and Public Policy, First Lecture in the Richard J. Estes Lecture Series on International and Comparative QoL Research, XI International Society for Quality-of-Life Studies Conference	1-9
CONFERENCES IN 2013:	
The 4th International Society for Child Indicators (ISCI), Conference Theme: Child Indicators in a Globalized World..11	
"Long-term trends in Quality of Life" Mid-term conference of the ISA Research Committee 55 on Social Indicators	10
ANNOUNCEMENTS:	
Call For Papers Applied Research in Quality of Life, The Official Journal of the International Society for Quality-of-Life Studies	11
ISQOLS: Headquarters and Homepage.....	12
SINET Homepage	11

Editor's Note: This issue of SINET leads off with a reprint of the article "Happiness, Growth, and Public Policy" by Richard A. Easterlin. In her Report in the November 2012 Issue of SINET on the XI International Society for Quality-of-Life Studies Conference in Venice, Italy, November 1-4, 2012, ISQOLS President and Conference Chair Filomena Maggino noted that Richard Estes (past President of ISQOLS and Professor of Economics – University of Southern California, member of the National Academy of Sciences and the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, a former Guggenheim Fellow, and past president of the Population Association of America, and the Economic History Association), made a generous contribution to ISQOLS to establish the "International and Comparative RJE lecture series on QOL Research" with a lecture in the series to be given at each ISQOLS global conference, and Professor Easterlin was invited to open the series in Venice. Maggino stated "The view at the beginning of the speech was extraordinary: the plenary room was completely full when Richard A. Easterlin started his lecture on Happiness, growth, and public policy – focused on the conference's theme. The audience's attention was particularly high and the thunderous applause was followed by an intense series of questions to the speaker." Because of the historic significance of this inaugural lecture in the RJE Series, because of its general interest to all QoL researchers, and with the permission of the Western Economic Association International, the full article on which the lecture was based is reprinted here. Announcement of forthcoming conferences and calls for papers complete the issue.

SINET

Social Indicators Network News

EDITOR EMERITUS:

Abbott L. Ferriss,
Atlanta, GA

COORDINATING EDITOR/PUBLISHER:

Kenneth C. Land,
Duke University, Durham, NC

MANAGING EDITOR:

Rob Marks,
Duke University, Durham, NC

CORRESPONDING EDITORS:

Children, Youth and Education:

Donald J. Hernandez
Bureau of the Census,
Washington, DC

Health and Aging:

Linda K. George and
Deborah T. Gold,
Duke University, Durham, NC

General Social and Cultural Trends:

James A. Davis,
National Opinion Research Center,
Chicago, IL

John P. Robinson,
University of Maryland,
College Park, MD

Marketing/Quality of Life Research:

M. Joseph Sirgy,
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
& State University

Social Forecasting/Futurology Joseph Coates,
Coates and Jarratt, Inc.
Washington, DC

Subjective Well-Being and the Quality of Life:

Ed Diener,
University of Illinois
at Urbana-Champaign

International Correspondents:

Asia and the Pacific:
Mahar Mangahas, Philippines,
Social Weather Stations,
Quezon City, The Philippines

Dudley L. Poston
Texas A&M University,
College Station, TX

Europe:

Maria Ciechocinska,
University of Agriculture & Education,
Zytni, Poland

Lief Gouiedo
Stockholm, Sweden

Wolfgang Glatzer
Frankfurt, Germany

Zsolt Speder

TABLE 1

Measures of Subjective Well-Being

1. Happiness (U.S. General Social Survey): “Taken all together, how would you say things are these days, would you say that you are very happy, pretty happy, or not too happy?” (Coded 3, 2, 1).

2. Life Satisfaction (World Values Survey): “All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days? Please use this card to help with your answer.”

1 ‘Dissatisfied’ 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 ‘Satisfied’

3. Financial Satisfaction (Latinobarometer): “How would you define, in general, the current economic situation of yourself and your family?”

Would you say that it is. . . .

1 = Very bad; 2 = Bad; 3 = Regular; 4 = Good; 5 = Very Good”

different from the cross-sectional relationship. There is a substantial overlap in the basic data used by S-S-W and those reported on here. As will be seen, the difference in the results arises principally from the time spans studied. I use the longest period available for each country, while S-S-W confine their analysis to periods of about a decade in length.

I take as the measure of economic growth real GDP per capita. Mean SWB is calculated here as the average of individuals’ integer responses to survey questions of the type listed in Table 1. The terms SWB, happiness, and life satisfaction are used interchangeably; though not identical in concept, they are closely related (Easterlin 2010, 8–9, 103–04).


Until recently, economists assumed that measures of an individual’s external (observable) circumstances, especially one’s income, were sufficient to assess well-being, and self-reports of subjective feelings were dismissed out of hand.² The 2008 Stiglitz-Sen-Fitoussi Report, commissioned by French President Sarkozy to propose more meaningful measures of well-being, is indicative of the sea-change that has taken place. After advocating the official collection of a variety of objective measures, the Report of the 25-member Commission (including five Nobel prize winners in economics) states:

Research has shown that it is possible to collect meaningful and reliable data on subjective as well as objective well-being.... [T]he types of questions that have proved their value within small-scale and unofficial surveys should be included in larger scale surveys undertaken by official statistical offices. (Stiglitz, Sen, and Fitoussi, 2008, 16)

The subjective measures used here are among the principal ones advocated in the report. For an excellent comparison of the various SWB measures and analysis of their meaningfulness, see Helliwell, Layard, and Sachs (2012, ch. 2).

FIGURE 1

Each Doubling of GDP Is Associated with a Constant Increase in Life Satisfaction



II. DOES GROWTH RAISE HAPPINESS?

A. The Long-term Relationship

The answer to this question is often based on the bivariate cross-section relation of happiness to real GDP per capita. A frequently cited example is Angus Deaton's (2008) analysis of Gallup World Poll data for 123 countries, the most comprehensive cross-section analysis done to date. Deaton's figure 2 (p. 57) is reproduced in full here (Figure 1).³ The inference suggested by the figure is stated explicitly in the caption "Each Doubling of GDP Is Associated with a Constant Increase in Life Satisfaction."⁴ This generalization is found by Deaton to apply across the income stratum, with the relationship being, if anything, stronger in richer than in poorer countries. For real world growth rates of GDP per capita, say up to 10% per year, Deaton's generalization implies that doubling the growth rate of GDP per capita will approximately double the increment in life satisfaction.⁴

Of course, the test of this cross-section relationship is whether it holds true in historical experience. To evaluate this, I present here the results of several time series studies covering recent decades done by my collaborators and me. The countries included are those

with a fairly long time span of comparable SWB data, usually a minimum of 12 years but often much more.⁵ For each country, we compute the growth rate of real GDP per capita over the full time span of SWB data, and the corresponding increment in SWB. We then compare the observations for the various countries to see whether countries with higher rates of economic growth have significantly higher increments in SWB—at the extreme, whether doubling the growth rate of GDP doubles the increment in life satisfaction. This is, of course, only a bivariate analysis, but so too are the cross-section studies, such as Deaton's, on which generalizations are based about the effect of economic growth on happiness. The results here are quite consistent and easily summarized:

1 For 17 developed countries with time series ranging from 21 to 34 years, there is no significant relationship between the rate of improvement in life satisfaction and the growth rate of GDP per capita (Figure 2). The countries included here are 14 developed countries of Europe plus the United States, Canada, and Australia. For most countries the long-term GDP growth rates are between 1.5% and 3%, but for two, Ireland and Luxembourg, the rates are between 3% and 5%. If Ireland and Luxembourg are

FIGURE 2

Growth Rate of Life Satisfaction and Real




FIGURE 4

Growth Rate of Life Satisfaction and Real GDP Per Capita, 11 Transition Countries (time span, 12–22 years)




FIGURE 3

Growth Rate of Life Satisfaction and Real GDP Per Capita, Nine Developing Countries (time span, 15–33 years)





FIGURE 5

Growth Rate of Life Satisfaction and Real GDP Per Capita, 17 Developed, 11 Transition, and 9 Developing Countries (time span, 12–34 years)



deleted, there is still no significant relationship, as can readily be seen from a glance at Figure 2.

2 For nine developing countries with time series ranging from 15 to 33 years, there is no significant relationship between the rate of improvement in happiness and the rate of economic growth (Figure 3). The nine countries are mostly fairly populous, four in Asia, four in Latin America, and one in Sub-Saharan Africa. The economic growth rates range from around zero for South Africa to almost 10% per year for China. If China, the outlier of the group, is omitted, the regression coefficient remains not significant.


3 For 11 transition countries with time series ranging from 12 to 22 years, there is no significant relationship between the improvement in life satisfaction and the rate of economic growth (Figure 4). The 11 countries range across central and eastern Europe and are those for which there is a life satisfaction ob-

2006. One would expect the responses to this question to be even more closely linked to economic growth than life satisfaction. The central feature of growth is a rapid increase of real incomes, and such an increase would presumably lead directly to greater satisfaction with one's financial situation. Hence, one might expect that countries with higher growth rates of GDP per capita would have greater increments in financial satisfaction.

In fact, there is no evidence that a greater increase in financial satisfaction accompanies more rapid economic growth. As in the analysis of the WVS data, the regression line fitted to the Latin American data indicates a nil relationship (Figure 6). The results from the Latino barometer buttress those from the WVS.

If there is any LDC where one would expect a positive impact of economic growth on SWB it would be China, whose growth rate since 1990 has been the highest ever recorded (Heston, Summers, and Aten 2011). Household appliances such as refrigerators and washing machines—quite rare in 1990—are now commonplace in urban areas (OECD 2010b, 21). Color television sets currently average over one per household. By 2008, almost one in ten urban households owned a car and China had become the world's leading automobile producer (OECD 2010a, 6, 10).

Yet, the combined evidence from six SWB surveys is that life satisfaction in China has not improved, and, if anything, may have declined somewhat (Easterlin, Morgan, and Switek 2012). Life satisfaction appears to have followed a U-shaped trajectory, bottoming out in the first part of this millennium; the recovery since then has left SWB somewhat short of its initial level (Figure 7; cf. also Easterlin, Morgan, and Switek 2012).




servation near the start of the transition (cf. Easterlin 2010, 86). Their economic growth rates are from slightly negative to about 3% per year.

4 For all 37 countries taken together, with time series ranging from 12 to 34 years in length, there is no significant relation between the improvement in life satisfaction and the rate of economic growth (Figure 5). The growth rates of GDP per capita typically range from slightly negative to almost 6%. If the one outlier, China, is omitted, the regression coefficient is still not significant.

In sum, for rich, poor, and transition countries, whether pooled or analyzed separately, there is no evidence that a higher growth rate increases the rate of improvement in life satisfaction. Doubling the rate of economic growth does not double the increase in life satisfaction; rather, the evidence is that it has no significant effect.

Reasonably comparable time series data on SWB in less-developed countries (LDCs) are in short supply. In the foregoing analysis, the World Values Survey (WVS) was the principal source and it was possible to include only nine LDCs. Fortunately, the annual Latinobarometer surveys, covering 17 Latin American countries since 1994, provide an additional body of data on the experience of lower income nations. The life satisfaction question in these surveys changes too frequently to be used, but the question on financial satisfaction listed in Table 1 is the same from 1994 to



general, they are more representative of urban areas, but, then, income growth was much higher in urban areas.⁶ If economic growth has a strong positive effect on SWB, one would expect that in a two-decade period of more than fourfold real income growth per capita any sizable impact on SWB would be picked up in most of these surveys. Yet, all but one fail to give any indication of a marked increase of the type one would expect based on the cross-section studies.

The one exception is the PEW survey. But in this survey, the initial observation falls at an economic trough, and the subsequent increase captures the recovery segment of the U. This is evidenced by comparison with the other surveys in Figure 7. It is also apparent from data in the PEW survey itself. Among other things, respondents were asked, “Now thinking about our economic

situation, how would you describe the current economic situation in China? Is it very good, somewhat good, somewhat bad, or very bad?” Here are the responses to this question, along with the survey values for mean life satisfaction in 2002 and 2010:

Year	Economic situation (% somewhat or very good)	Mean life satisfaction (scale 0–10)
2002	52	5.27
2010	93	5.85

Clearly, the increase in life satisfaction in China reported in the PEW surveys occurs in conjunction with a marked improvement in the economy.

B. Misreading the Long-term Relationship

The PEW data illustrate a widely observed relationship, namely, that in the short term happiness goes up and down with the state of the economy.⁷ The Pew Research Center, in its commentary on the results of its surveys, states: “The relationship between rising incomes and increasing happiness is most evident in China, India, Latin America, and Eastern Europe” (Pew Research Center, 2007, 1). Evidence that the increase in SWB reported for China after 2002 is the short-term one has just been presented (Figure 7). Similar evidence for the movements in India and Latin America is found in other work.⁸ There was a serious worldwide setback to economic growth at the beginning of this millennium (United Nations 2002, 2003). The upswing reported in the PEW surveys, namely, an improvement in life satisfaction due to recovery from the economic slump at the beginning of the millennium, may be common to many countries throughout the world. (The time series movement in eastern Europe, mentioned in the PEW quotation above, is a somewhat different matter, as will be seen shortly.)

Two examples of how shorter-term movements can be mistaken for the longer-term relationship of happiness and economic growth appear in the recently published article by Sacks, Stevenson, and Wolfers (2012). As previously mentioned, S-S-W report a positive time series relationship between happiness and income not significantly different from the cross-section relationship. Their time series analysis is based chiefly on two data sets, the


Eurobarometer and WVS waves 1–4, and in both cases involves a comparison between growth rates of life satisfaction and GDP for a number of countries, similar to that presented in Figures 2–6 above (S-S-W 2012, 81–84, figures 6, 7).⁹

The positive association in the WVS that S-S-W report is due in substantial part to outlier observations for several transition countries. The typical transition pattern is a U- or V-shaped movement in both GDP and life satisfaction, illustrated here by the German Democratic Republic (GDR), the only European transition country for which annual life satisfaction data are available (Figure 8). The GDR’s contraction and recovery covers a shorter time period than in most transition countries, because of the substantial economic support provided by West Germany after unification (Easterlin and Plagnol 2008). For several of the outliers (Russia, Belarus, Latvia, Lithuania) waves 2–4 of the WVS (the waves included in the S-S-W study) capture only the lengthy contraction phase of the transition in each, when a negative growth in GDP was accompanied by a substantial decline in life satisfaction (see the shaded areas of Figure 8). For Slovenia, an outlier with a substantial positive change in both GDP and life satisfaction, waves 2–4 span only the recovery phase of the transition (Figure 8). It is these outlier transition observations that chiefly determine the positive association in the regression between changes in happiness and income plotted in S-S-W’s Figure 6. The changes in life satisfaction and GDP are reflecting shorter-term movements in the course of the transition, not the longer-term pattern presented in Figures 4 and 5 above (which include wave 5 of the WVS and thus span a longer period).¹⁰

Similarly, in S-S-W’s Eurobarometer analysis, the positive association they report between changes in happiness and income is based on shorter-term changes. Ireland provides an example. In the regression for 17 developed countries shown in Figure 2 above, Ireland has the highest growth rate of GDP per capita, but only an average rate of change in SWB. In analyzing the same data for Ireland, S-S-W replace the long-term change by shorter decade-to-decade movements (S-S-W 2012, 82–84). Figure 9 reproduces my Figure 2 with the single observation in Figure 2 for Ireland now replaced by three sub-period observations.¹¹

FIGURE 8

Happiness and Index of GDP, Six Transition Countries



As can be seen, the result is to tilt the regression relationship in a positive direction. The earliest S-S-W observation, that labeled 78–87, spans a period in which the economy plunged into a major recession—the economic growth rate is among the lowest and the rate of change in SWB is negative. The subsequent recovery (88–98) yields an observation of high economic growth coupled with a positive increment in life satisfaction and the two points together make for a positively sloped regression line.

For all of the Eurobarometer countries included in their analysis, S-S-W similarly replace the long-term change with shorter-term decadal changes. Even after doing this, they only conclude that the “estimated satisfaction-income gradient resulting from these long-run differences is marginally statistically significant at 0.28” (p. 84, emphasis added).

The question posed at the start of the section was whether economic growth in itself leads to increased happiness. The answer suggested by the evidence surveyed—17 developed countries, 9 developing countries, 11 transition countries, 17 Latin American countries, and China—is, no. Contrary conclusions are due to analysts confusing the shorter-term (positive) relation of SWB and GDP with the long-term (nil) relation.

My interest here has been to establish the facts on the relation between happiness and economic growth. The results just summarized inevitably raise questions of explanation. Why is it that income growth fails over the long term to raise happiness? How can one reconcile this long-term nil relationship with the short-term positive relationship? The answers to these questions involve psychological mechanisms such as social comparison, hedonic adaptation, and loss aversion, which for lack of space cannot be pursued here (but see Easterlin 2010, ch. 2–6). I turn, instead, to the policy issues raised at the start of the paper.

III. PUBLIC POLICY AND HAPPINESS

If economic growth in itself does not increase happiness, are there other policies that will? The answer is, yes, full employment and safety net policies increase happiness.

There is extensive evidence in the happiness literature that unemployment has a significant and sizable negative impact on SWB.¹² DiTella, MacCulloch, and Oswald (2001) report that

TABLE 2

Macro-Economic Indicators, Average for Two Sets of European Welfare States, 2007

	GDP per capita (\$000)	Inflation rate (%/year)	Unemployment rate (%)
Ultra-Welfare States	34.3	2.1	5.6
Semi-Welfare States	33.4	2.1	6.6

TABLE 3

Measures of Unemployment Benefits, OECD and Scruggs, Average for Two Sets of European Welfare States

	OECD Income Replacement Rate, 2007 (%)	Scruggs Generosity Index Unemployment Benefits, 2002 (scale, 0–15)
Ultra-Welfare States	38.0	9.9
Semi-Welfare States	27.4	6.6

this effect is felt by employed as well as unemployed persons. The policy implication is straightforward—full employment policies will increase happiness.

The positive effect of safety net policies on happiness is suggested in another study by DiTella, MacCulloch, and Oswald (2003, 821), who in a multivariate analysis find that “the [OECD unemployment] benefit rate is positively associated with happiness levels and is well-defined statistically.” The political science literature on SWB provides additional support for the positive impact on SWB of safety net policies.¹³

In what follows, I present additional evidence on the positive relation between happiness, on the one hand, and full employment and safety net policies, on the other. First, I compare European countries with the same GDP per capita, but different socio-economic policies, to see whether there is any difference in happiness. Second, I examine the course of happiness in China and a European transition country (the former GDR) in the period when employment and safety net policies were largely abandoned.

A. European Welfare States

For simplicity, Denmark, Sweden, and Finland are grouped together here as “ultra-welfare states,” and France, Germany, Austria, and the United Kingdom, as “semi-welfare states.” Macro-economic conditions—GDP per capita, and inflation and unemployment rates—in the two groups are virtually the same (Table 2).

Public policies in the ultra-welfare states, however, are more generous and comprehensive than in the semi-welfare states. Summary measures of such policies do not exist. The closest approximation is the benefit generosity indexes created by political scientist Lyle Scruggs (2004, 2006) who, in turn, built on the earlier work of Esping-Andersen (1990). Scruggs’ indexes take account of income replacement rates and the scope and duration of benefit coverage in three policy areas—unemployment, sickness, and pensions. Data for the most recent year available in the Scruggs estimates, 2002, are used here. I also use as supportive evidence the responses to several questions on people’s subjective feelings and attitudes in the European Quality of Life Survey (European Foundation 2007).

As a partial check on Scruggs’ measures, it is possible to compare the OECD’s summary measure of the average income replacement rate due to unemployment benefits with the Scruggs generosity index for unemployment benefits (Table 3). As can be seen, the ultra-welfare states are considerably more generous on both the OECD and Scruggs measures, suggesting that Scruggs’ generosity index is consistent with the OECD measure.

Scruggs’ estimates indicate differences between the ultra-welfare states and semi-welfare states in the generosity of sickness and pension benefits much like the difference in unemployment benefits (Table 4). Scruggs’ overall benefit measure is obtained by adding these three generosity indexes. The evidence in the table indicates that the policies of the ultra-welfare states are uniformly more generous than those of the semi-welfare states.

The differences in public policies between the two sets of countries are reflected in peoples’ satisfaction with their lives. Respondents in the ultra-welfare states are, on average, more satisfied with their work, health, and family life than in the semi-welfare states, and they also report greater overall life satisfaction (Table 5).

The correspondence between the satisfaction and public policy differences for the two sets of countries is consistent with the

TABLE 4
Benefit Generosity of Various Public Policies, Average for Two Sets of Welfare States, 2002
Scruggs generosity index

	Unemployment benefits (0–15)	Sickness benefits (0–15)	Pension benefits (0–17)	Overall benefits (0–47)
Ultra-Welfare States	9.9	11.4	12.5	33.8
Semi-Welfare States	6.6	9.2	10.4	26.2

TABLE 5

Satisfaction with Work, Health, Family Life, and Life in General, Average for Two Sets of European Welfare States, 2007. (Could you please tell me on a scale of 1 to 10 how satisfied you are with each of the following items, where 1 means very dissatisfied and 10 means very satisfied?)

	Work	Health	Family life	Life in general
Ultra-Welfare States	8.0	7.9	8.6	8.4
Semi-Welfare States	7.2	7.4	8.0	7.2

TABLE 6

Respondents' Ratings of Government Services, Average for Two Sets of European Welfare States, 2007. (In general, how would you rate the quality of each of the following PUBLIC services? 1–10 scale)

	Health	Education	Care of:		
			Children	Elderly	Public pension
Ultra-Welfare States	7.4	7.8	7.6	6.5	6.3
Semi-Welfare States	6.8	6.6	6.5	6.0	5.1

TABLE 7

Respondents' Ratings of Trust in Political System, Average for Two Sets of European Welfare States, 2007. (Please tell me how much you trust each of the following institutions. . . 1 = do not trust at all, 10 = trust completely)

	Government	Political parties	Legal system
Ultra-Welfare States	6.3	5.7	7.4
Semi-Welfare States	5.0	4.2	5.8

findings in the SWB literature that there is a causal connection running from full employment and safety net policies to happiness. But, as a check, I consider whether people give any evidence that they are aware of and responsive to these policy differences. One indication is provided by respondents' ratings of public services. On average, those in ultra-welfare states give consistently higher ratings for a wide range of public services: health, education, care of children and the elderly, and public pensions (Table 6). They also consistently express greater trust in the political system (Table 7). It seems that, in general, people are aware of and responsive to more generous social policies and, because of these policies, are more satisfied with their lives. Although the ultra- and semi-welfare states have quite similar macro-economic conditions, happiness is higher in the set of countries where socio-economic policies are more generous and comprehensive.

B. Transition Countries

The second piece of new evidence that happiness is positively related to full employment and safety net policies comes from the experience of the transition countries. In the countries moving from socialism to capitalism, there has been a substantial retreat from safety net policies. Hence one would expect a negative impact on happiness.

Prior to the transition, the typical situation in these countries was one of full employment and a comprehensive social safety net. Here is a description of workers' conditions pre-transition

in three different countries by three different analysts.

China.

Job rights have until very recently been firmly entrenched in urban China State-owned enterprises have . . . supplied extensive welfare benefits, including housing, medical services, pensions, childcare, and jobs for [grown] children Almost all state employees, and many in the larger collectives, have thus enjoyed an "iron rice bowl" . . . lifetime tenure of their job and a relatively high wage in the enterprise representing a "mini welfare state." (Knight and Song, 2005, 16–17)

East Germany.

Over the 40 years of its existence, the DDR [Deutsche Demokratische Republic (East Germany)] had developed as a completely different state from the BRD [Bundersrepublik Deutschland (West Germany)]. There was no unemployment, no (open) inflation, low work intensity, free medical services, low prices for housing and public transport. (Lumley 1995, 29)


Russia.

Before 1989, Russians lived in a country that provided economic security: unemployment was virtually unknown, pensions were guaranteed and provided a standard of living perceived to be adequate, and macroeconomic instability did not much effect the average citizen. (Brainerd and Cutler 2005, 125)

The similarity among these descriptions is striking—clearly full employment and a comprehensive safety net was the norm prior

FIGURE 10

Unemployment Rate, Specified Country, c. 1990–2010 (percent for labor force)



to the transition.

The movement from socialism to capitalism brought an end to full employment and the social safety net. Unemployment rates rose from near-zero to two-digit levels (Figure 10). Safety net benefits, which were typically provided through state-owned enterprises, disappeared, as workers lost jobs and/or shifted to private firms. The severity in China of the effects of this “restructuring” of the economy are suggested by the following two quotations from a World Bank document:

By all measures, S.O.E. [state-owned enterprise] restructuring had a profound effect on the functioning of the labor market and the welfare of millions of urban workers. Most urban centers experienced a sharp rise in unemployment and a large reduction in labor force participation as many older and discouraged workers left the labor force. (World Bank, 2007, 19)

S.O.E. restructuring ...mark[ed] the end of the “iron rice bowl” of guaranteed lifetime employment and benefits for urban workers. (World Bank, 2007, 17)

TABLE 8

Satisfaction with Various Life Domains, East Germany, 1990 and 2004 (scale 0–10)

Domain	1990	2004	Change 1990–2004
Positive changes			
Environment	3.11	6.47	+3.36
Goods availability	3.16	6.20	+3.04
Dwelling	6.93	7.36	+0.43
Standard of living	6.34	6.63	+0.29
Household income	5.52	5.61	+0.09
Negative changes			
Health	6.62	6.20	−0.42
Work	7.23	6.48	−0.75
Childcare	7.54	6.48	−1.06
Overall life satisfaction	6.57	6.55	−0.02

As has been seen, life satisfaction in China over the last two decades remained constant or perhaps even declined, despite a more than fourfold multiplication of output and incomes. It seems reasonable to infer that with the emergence and rise of unemployment, and breakdown of the social safety net, new concerns arose among workers about such things as jobs and income security, the availability of health care and pensions, and provision for care of children and the elderly. Rapid economic growth may have partially alleviated these concerns by providing increased employment opportunities, but the net effect was no gain in happiness.

The survey data for East Germany, the former GDR, provide specific evidence of the emergence of job and safety net concerns. These surveys ask about satisfaction not only with life in general but also about satisfaction with various aspects or “domains” of life, data not available for China.

Between June 1990 (just prior to the transition) and 2004, East Germans’ satisfaction increased with a number of material aspects of life (Table 8). The marked increase in satisfaction with the environment and availability of goods is noteworthy. These are two features of life in the GDR that were often spoken of disparagingly by contemporary observers. All of the other material dimensions of life in Table 8 also show at least modest improvement.

Counterbalancing these improvements, however, are sizable negative changes in satisfaction with health, work, and childcare (Table 8). Prior to the transition, people were assured of jobs and substantial social support. With the retreat from full employment

TABLE 9

Growth Rate of Real GDP per Capita, Germany, 1880s, and Less-Developed Countries, 2000–2008 (per cent per year)

Germany	1.8	East Asia, except China	4.8
		South Asia, except India	3.8
All LDCs	5.1	Middle East and North Africa	3.0
China	9.7	Latin America	2.7
India	6.4	Sub-Saharan Africa	2.7

and a social safety net, concerns regarding these important aspects of life mounted, and satisfaction correspondingly declined. The outcome, as in the case of China, was a negative impact on happiness, and no improvement in overall life satisfaction.

The general conclusion is that full employment and safety net policies increase happiness. This is suggested, first, by prior studies in the happiness literature. It is seen here in the tabular survey data from European welfare states, where, controlling for GDP per capita, persons in countries with more generous and comprehensive socio-economic policies report greater happiness, and give subjective evidence that it is such policies that are responsible for their happiness. Finally, it is evidenced in the experience of two transition countries examined here, China and the former GDR. Despite a marked difference in their output trajectories, the two countries exhibit a similar life satisfaction pattern of no long-term improvement, resulting from a common retreat from full employment and a comprehensive safety net.

IV. AFFORDABILITY

Incomes are low in many countries throughout the world and promoting economic growth is, in consequence, often viewed

as a high priority policy. As has been seen, however, if the goal is to increase happiness, economic growth in itself is unlikely to do the job. Full employment and social support policies will increase happiness, but such policies are often seen as a luxury of higher income nations. Hence, it is essential to ask whether social insurance of the type discussed above is affordable in today's less-developed world. This is a complex question. A start on answering it can perhaps be made by comparing incomes in today's LDCs with those in Germany, the country that pioneered social insurance.

In 1883, Germany introduced sickness insurance; in 1884, industrial accident insurance; and, in 1889, public pensions. In the 1880s, Germany's real GDP per capita in 2005 dollars was about \$3200 (Heston, Summers, and Aten 2011). How do the incomes of today's LDCs compare with that of Germany in the 1880s? If we take population size into account, about three-fourths of the population of the less-developed world lives in countries whose GDP per capita exceeds \$3200, and 43% live in countries whose level is \$6400 or more, at least double that of Germany in the 1880s (Heston, Summers, and Aten 2011). Most of those living in countries below \$3200 are in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Turning from levels of GDP per capita to rates of change, how do growth rates in today's LDCs compare with the per annum 1.8% growth rate of Germany in the 1880s? The answer is that, on average, today's LDC growth rate is almost three times that of Germany's (Table 9). If China and India, large countries with considerably above average growth rates, are excluded, the average growth rates of GDP per capita in LDCs in the major areas of the world are still considerably above the German growth rate of the 1880s, and this includes Sub-Saharan Africa (Table 9, right-hand panel).

In sum, countries accounting for three-fourths of the world's population have both a higher level and higher growth rate of GDP per capita than Germany in the 1880s, when it initiated social insurance programs. One may reasonably have reservations about the comparison of today's LDCs with Germany in the 1880s, and clearly more research is needed. But by this comparison, at least, social insurance is affordable in most LDCs today. Indeed, a number of LDCs are starting to implement various types of social insurance, sometimes with the help of international organizations.¹⁴ These programs are typically less than comprehensive, but the same was true of Germany's initial programs.

V. SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS

The answers to the three questions posed in the Introduction can be briefly summarized:

1 Economic growth in itself does not raise happiness. Evidence for a wide range of developed, transition, and developing countries consistently shows that higher growth rates are not accompanied by greater increments in happiness. Even China, with the highest rate of economic growth ever recorded, has no improvement in life satisfaction. Analysts claiming that growth and happiness go together are mistaking a shorter-term positive relation for the long-term nil relation. The time series data also make clear that cross-section studies are a misleading basis for drawing conclusions about historical experience.

2 Full employment and safety net policies do increase happiness. The evidence for this is, first, prior work in the happiness literature. Second, when one compares European countries with the same macro-economic conditions but different welfare

policies, one finds that the set of countries with more generous welfare policies are happier. Finally, in the transition countries the substantial retreat from full employment and safety net policies had a negative impact on happiness.

3 Employment and safety net policies are arguably affordable in most countries throughout the world. Among LDCs, those accounting for three-fourths of LDC population have both a higher level and higher growth rate of GDP per capita than Germany in the 1880s, when it pioneered social insurance programs.

These conclusions do not necessarily mean that economic growth should be abandoned as a policy goal. In principle, economic growth should contribute to greater employment and make safety net policies easier to implement, although this has not been demonstrated here. Clearly, there is much more research to be done, but it is evident that the sole promotion of economic growth as a cure-all is not a valid policy solution to raising happiness.

In particular, there is need for research on the effects of public policy on happiness. It is commonly assumed that the positive cross-section association of happiness and GDP per capita is indicative of the effect of income on happiness. The alternative possibility is not considered, namely, that greater happiness is due to public policies based on the growth in social science knowledge, in which the more developed countries have been the leaders.¹⁵

There is also need for research on the distributional implications of public policies. It seems plausible that full employment and safety net policies would contribute especially to raising the happiness of the less-advantaged segment of the population. There is some evidence to this effect (Easterlin 2013b), but greater study is needed.

Finally, it is important to note that the present analysis demonstrates the value of SWB measures like happiness and life satisfaction as guides to policy. Output measures lead one to focus on firms and their productivity, while happiness measures lead directly to the lives and personal concerns of individuals. Moreover, happiness and life satisfaction are concepts with which a layman can identify, unlike GDP. Both GDP and SWB have their uses, but policymakers' preoccupation with GDP has too often led to neglect of the individuals whose welfare is or should be the primary object of policy.

ABBREVIATIONS

GDP: Gross Domestic Product
 GDR: German Democratic Republic
 GRR: Gross Replacement Rate
 LDC: Less-Developed Countries
 S-S-W: Sacks, Stevenson, and Wolfers
 SWB: Subjective Well-Being
 WVS: World Values Survey

“Long-term trends in Quality of Life”

Mid-term conference of the ISA Research Committee 55 on Social Indicators

The Hague, The Netherlands

Thursday 12th and Friday 13th of September, 2013

- **Objectives of the conference:**

This conference will explore the long-term developments in quality of life in societies. Both methodological issues – how to measure longitudinally progress in quality in life – as well as the results of this research – what got better and what got worse for whom – will be discussed. Special attention will be given to the relationship between research and policy making. How can results from quality of life research be made (more) applicable and (more) relevant for decision making on national or local level? How should politicians take into account quality of life research next to economic data? An intriguing question in this respect is why generally pessimism is prevailing in the public debate on the progress of societies?

- **Conference themes:**

- a. - How to measure the progress in QoL? Applicability of QoL data for policy makers and the general public.
- b. - What got better what got worse? Who are the winners and losers of societal development?
- c. - Why does progress pessimism prevail in public opinion?

- **Aim and format of the conference**

- Number of participants : 60 - 70
- Limited number of conference themes in order to focus the conference
- Limited number of parallel sessions in order to have enough and active participation for debate in each session
- stringent selection of submitted papers to fit into the themes of the sessions and to have high quality presentations
- 2 plenary sessions with key notes and discussion with the audience
- 3 – 4 presentations per session in order to allow enough time for discussion.

- **When:** Thursday 12th and Friday 13th of September 2013

- **Where:** The Netherlands Institute for Social Research / SCP, The Hague, The Netherlands (www.scp.nl)

- **Preliminary programme:**

Thursday 12th of September:

10.00 – Opening

10.15 – Plenary meeting, 2 keynotes, discussion with audience

Keynote 1: policy context and relevance of social indicators of quality of life

Keynote 2: methodological issues on measuring long-term trends

11.30 – coffee break

12.00 – plenary discussion, based upon discussion points from the keynotes

13.00 – 14.00 lunch

14.00 – 15.15 Two parallel sessions:

- a. Theme a.: How to measure the progress in QoL? Applicability of QoL data for policy makers and the general public

- b. Theme b.: - What got better what got worse? Who

are the winners and losers of societal development?

15.15 – 15.45 coffee

15.45 – 17.00 Two parallel sessions:

- c. Theme a.: How to measure the progress in QoL? Applicability of QoL data for policy makers and the general public

- d. Theme c.: Why does progress pessimism prevail in public opinion?

Evening: diner in The Hague or Scheveningen (own costs)

Friday 13th of September:

9.00 – 10.20 Two parallel sessions:

- e. Theme b. - What got better what got worse? Who are the winners and losers of societal development?

- f. Theme a.: How to measure the progress in QoL? Applicability of QoL data for policy makers and the general public

10.20 – 10.40 Coffee break

10.40 – 12.00 Two parallel sessions:

- g. Theme b.: - What got better what got worse? Who are the winners and losers of societal development?

- h. Theme c.: : Why does progress pessimism prevail in public opinion?

12.10 – 12.30 Key note 3: The information needs of policy makers

12.30 – 13.15 Forum discussion

13.15 End of conference

- **Abstract submissions to be sent to: r.bijl@scp.nl**
Abstract submissions are accepted until May 15th, 2013.

Abstracts should be sent by mail including:

- Title
- Surname and Name of the author/s
- Author's Institutional Affiliation
- E-mail of the first author
- Thematic area addressed (a, b or c)
- Abstract between 200 to 300 words including objectives, methodology, results, discussion and conclusions.
- Four key words
- Abstracts should be submitted in Word, Arial letter 11, leaded of 1.5.

- **Costs:**

Conference fee will be about 100 Euro p.p.

Participants pay their own travel, hotel and diners. SCP will organise coffee/tea, 2 lunches (to be included in the conference fee).

- **Scientific Committee:**

Dr. Rob Bijl (The Netherlands Institute for Social Research / SCP, The Hague; Board member ISA Research Committee 55 on Social Indicators)

Dr. Jeroen Boelhouwer (The Netherlands Institute for Social Research / SCP, The Hague)

Prof.dr. Ruut Veenhoven (Erasmus University Rotterdam; Chair ISA Research Committee 55 on Social Indicators)

The 4th International Society for Child Indicators (ISCI) Conference

Theme: Child Indicators in a Globalized World
Hosted at Seoul National University, Seoul Korea
May 29-31, 2013

- Venue: Hoam Faculty House, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea
- Main theme: Child Indicators in a Globalized World: Implications for research, practice, and policy.
 - o Topics to be addressed in the conference included: theory and conceptual frameworks of child indicators, dissemination of child indicators, advocacy using child indicators, information technology and child indicators.
- Feature: Keynote speakers, plenary and concurrent sessions, pre/post conference workshops.
- Key contact at the host organization: Bong Joo Lee, Professor, Department of Social Welfare, Seoul National University.
- E-mail: isci2013@gmail.com
- <http://www.2013isci.org/>

Important Deadlines

- Early Bird Registration: By February 28, 2013
- Regular Registration: After February 28, 2013



Applied Research in Quality of Life

The Official Journal of the International Society for Quality-of-Life Studies

The aim of this journal is to publish conceptual, methodological and empirical papers dealing with quality-of-life studies in the applied areas of the natural and social sciences. As the official journal of ISQOLS, it is designed to attract papers that have some direct implications for or impact on practical applications of research on the quality-of-life. We welcome papers crafted from inter-disciplinary, inter-professional and international perspectives. This research should guide decision making in a variety of professions, industries, nonprofit, and government sectors such as healthcare, travel and tourism, marketing, corporate management, community planning, social work, public administration, human resource management, among others. The goal is to help decision makers apply performance measures and outcome assessment techniques based on concepts such as well-being, human satisfaction, human development, happiness, wellness and quality of life. The Editorial Review Board is divided into specific sections indicating the broad scope of practice covered by the journal, and the section editors are distinguished scholars from many countries across the globe.

Authors interested in submitting manuscripts for publication should consult the website <http://ariq.edmgr.com>. Further information may be obtained by contacting one of the journal's Co-Editors: Richard Estes, University of Pennsylvania (USA), restes@sp2.upenn.edu; Alex C. Michalos, University of Northern British Columbia (Canada), michalos@unbc.ca; M. Joseph Sirgy, Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University (USA), sirgy@vt.edu.

SINET HOMEPAGE

SINET has a homepage entry on the World Wide Web. It is located on the homepage of the Department of Sociology at Duke University and thus can be accessed by clicking on Department Publications on the address of that page, namely, <http://www.soc.duke.edu> or by typing in the full address <http://www.soc.duke.edu/resources/sinet/index.html>. The homepage for SINET contains a description of the Contents of the Current Issue as well as of Previous Issues. In addition, it has Subscription Information, Editorial Information, Issue-Related Links, and a link to the homepage of ISQOLS, the International Society for Quality-of-Life Studies. The Issue-Related Links button has links to World Wide Web locations of data for the construction, study, and analysis of social and quality-of-life indicators that have been identified in previous issues of SINET. When you are surfing the Web, surf on in to our homepage.



**THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY
FOR
QUALITY-OF-LIFE STUDIES:
HEADQUARTERS AND WWW
HOMEPAGE**

The International Society for Quality-of-Life Studies (ISQOLS) was formed in the mid-1990s. The objectives of ISQOLS are: 1) to stimulate interdisciplinary research in quality-of-life (QOL) studies within the managerial (policy), behavioral, social, medical, and environmental sciences; 2) to provide an organization which all academic, business, nonprofit, and government researchers who are interested in QOL studies can coordinate their efforts to advance the knowledge base and to create positive social change; and 3) to encourage closer cooperation among scholars engaged in QOL research to develop better theory, methods, measures, and intervention programs.

Denis Huschka is the Executive Director and Treasurer for ISQOLS from Joe Sirgy, and the ISQOLS Central Office has moved from Blacksburg, Virginia, USA to Berlin, Germany. Denis's contact information: Denis Huschka, Executive Director, ISQOLS, Mohrenstrasse 58 10117 Berlin, Germany; Fax: +49-(0)3089789-263 E-Mail: ed@isqols.org. Denis has worked hard to update and make interactive the ISQOLS website: www.isqols.org. In particular, membership dues can be paid directly on the updated website. Anyone interested in knowing more about ISQOLS should contact Denis.

SINET

Subscription Information

As a service to the world-wide social indicators community, SINET is issued quarterly (February, May, August, November). Subscribers and network participants are invited to report news of their social indicator activity, research, policy development, etc., to the Editor for publication. Deadlines are the 20th of the month prior to each issue.

Address:

SINET, Kenneth C. Land, Editor,
Department of Sociology, Box 90088,
Duke University, Durham, NC 27708-0088, USA
E-mail: kland@soc.duke.edu
Telephone: 919-660-5615
Fax: 919-660-5623

Subscriptions are on a calendar year basis; annual rates:

Libraries/Institutions US\$26.00

Individual:

US & Canada US\$18.00

All Others US\$20.00

Sorry; credit cards are not accepted. Check must be in US dollars. Payment may be made through a wired money order; check with your financial institution for further information. Advance payments are always welcomed. Call or write for a multiple-year invoice.

Archived issues are available for purchase. Please call or write for prices and details.

SINET

Kenneth C. Land, Editor
Department of Sociology
Box 90088, Duke University
Durham, NC 27708-0088 USA
151-1057-6936-22940