Global life satisfaction scales ask respondents to evaluate their lives as a whole on a scale ranging from very satisfying to very dissatisfying. These scales are now receiving interest in terms of national accounts of well-being, with the scores potentially being used to inform policy decisions. The assessment of quality of life in societies by economic and social indicators is thought by the advocates of subjective well-being measures to provide an incomplete picture (e.g., Diener, Lucas, Schimmack, & Helliwell, 2008) that can be augmented by measures of life satisfaction (LS) and other types of subjective well-being.

The life satisfaction surveys are thought to complement existing indicators by reflecting the influences of diverse facets of quality of life and allowing respondents to weight them as they wish. We review here the validity, reliability, and other psychometric properties of global LS scales that are given on a single occasion and often contain only a single item. We do not review other types of scales such as comparisons to specific standards or domain satisfaction surveys.

Reliability of the Scales

The convergence between alternative life satisfaction items and scales reveals that people answer in a consistent manner. Correlations between different scales are in the moderate-to-high range (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985; Pavot & Diener, 1993b). The Cronbach alphas for multi-item scales such as the Satisfaction with Life Scale tend to be in the .80’s (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985), or even higher (between .90 and .96 in the field study of Eid & Diener, 2004), indicating convergence among life satisfaction items that are worded in different ways. Factor analyses of multi-item life satisfaction scales usually reveal essentially a single underlying dimension (Slocum-Gori, Zumbo, B. D., Michalos, & Diener, 2009).

The stability of life satisfaction scores across time and situations suggests that consistent psychological processes are involved and similar information is used when people report their scores. Stability coefficients over a period of several weeks for the Satisfaction with Life Scale can be above .80 (Pavot & Diener, 1993b). Over periods of several months the coefficients remain high (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985; Pavot & Diener, 1993b). Magnus, Diener, Fujita, and Pavot (1992) found a 4-year stability of .54 for the Satisfaction with Life Scale. Fujita and Diener (2005) found in a panel of respondents followed for many years that the one-year stability coefficient for LS assessed with a single item was about .56, and declined progressively to about .24 after sixteen years. Schimmack and Oishi (2005) meta-analyzed studies, which included 83 coefficients and 38 independent samples, and concluded that for single-item scales temporal stability estimates start at about .50 and decrease to the .20’s after 15 years, whereas the multi-item scales start at about .70 for shorter intervals.

Validity of Life Satisfaction Judgments

Life satisfaction self-report scores correlate moderately with other types of measures of well-being that are not based on reports by the respondents. For example, when reports on the estimated LS of target participants are collected from family and friends, the correlations to other measures of well-being are in the moderate-to-high range (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985; Pavot & Diener, 1993b). Magnus, Diener, Fujita, and Pavot (1992) found a 4-year stability of .54 for the Satisfaction with Life Scale. Fujita and Diener (2005) found in a panel of respondents followed for many years that the one-year stability coefficient for LS assessed with a single item was about .56, and declined progressively to about .24 after sixteen years. Schimmack and Oishi (2005) meta-analyzed studies, which included 83 coefficients and 38 independent samples, and concluded that for single-item scales temporal stability estimates start at about .50 and decrease to the .20’s after 15 years, whereas the multi-item scales start at about .70 for shorter intervals.
friends, they show moderate correlations with the targets’ self-reports (Sandvik, Diener, & Seidlitz, 1993; Pavot & Diener, 1993b; Steger, Frazier, Oishi, & Kaler, 2006). Beyond informant reports life satisfaction scores correlate significantly with physiological variables that are thought to track positive moods (e.g., Urry et al., 2004; Steptoe, Wardle, & Marmot, 2005). Seder and Oishi (in press) found that LS reported in the final year of college correlated significantly with genuine smiles shown on students’ Facebook pages during their first year in college. Self-reports of LS also converge with ratings made by trained raters after interviewing respondents (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985; Pavot & Diener, 1993b; Sandvik, Diener, & Seidlitz, 1993).

Another line of validity evidence for the life satisfaction scales is the difference between groups of individuals who appear to have fortunate versus unfortunate life circumstances. For instance, mental inpatients (Frisch, Cornell, Villanueva, & Retzlaff, 1992), prisoners (Joy, 1990), the homeless (Biswas-Diener & Diener, 2006), those suffering PTSD after brain injury (Bryant, Marosszeky, Crooks, Baguley, & Gurka, 2001), and street prostitutes (Baker, Wilson, & Winebarger, 2004) all score extremely low on LS, whereas very wealthy people score higher than nationally representative samples (Diener, Horwitz, & Emmons, 1985).

Life satisfaction also predicts future behavior. For example, Chang and Sanna (2001) found that LS predicted suicidal ideation, r = .44. Low scores on LS predicted suicide 20 years later in a large epidemiological sample from Finland (Koivumaa-Honkanen et al., 2001), controlling for other risk factors such as age, gender, and substance use. Low national levels of average national LS are related to higher suicide (DiTella, MacCulloch, & Oswald, 1997; Helliwell; 2007). Additionally, LS scores predict longevity (Diener & Chan, 2011).

At the level of national mean levels of life satisfaction there is strong evidence for the validity of the scales in reflecting differences in societies. For instance, the average life satisfaction in nations correlates with civil and political rights (Diener, Diener, & Diener, 1995), political freedom (Veenhoven, 2005), and lower levels of corruption (Oishi, 2011; Oishi & Roth, 2009). Life evaluations in nations also correlate strongly with the Gross Domestic Product per capita (Diener, Kahneman, Arora, Harter, & Tov, 2010) and average societal household income (Diener, Oishi, & Tay, 2011). Figure 1 presents the distribution of life evaluation scores in Togo and in Denmark, the least and most satisfied nations in the Gallup World Poll. The distributions are almost nonoverlapping, demonstrating that many bad or good conditions aggregated together have a cumulative effect on life evaluations. The correlation of .83 indicates that societal income strongly influences average LS. Inglehart (1990) found that the happiness in societies is strongly related to democratic governance (see also Frey & Stutzer, 2000; Inglehart & Klingemann, 2000).
Valid Variance

Using a latent-state, latent-trait analysis of repeated measures of mood and life satisfaction over time, Eid and Diener (2004) estimated that 74% of the variance in LS is due to chronically accessible information, 16% to temporarily accessible information, and 10% to random error. The comparable figures found by Schimmack and Oishi (2005) based on their meta-analysis of reliability studies were 80%, 10%, and 10%. In addition, Lucas & Donnellan (2007) found that in a long-term panel study that about 36% of variance was due to stable trait differences and about 31% additional variance was due to a moderately stable autoregressive component that changed slowly over years. Thus, it appears that about 60% to 80% of the variability in life satisfaction scales is associated with long-term factors, some of which such as personality are quite stable and some of which change slowly over the years.

Sensitivity of the Scales to Differing Conditions

The differences between groups and nations reviewed above are complemented by evidence from panel studies on how the scale scores respond to changing conditions within individuals and nations. Significant changes in LS have been found for those who were undergoing specific life events such as widowhood and childbirth (Yap, Anusic, and Lucas, 2011a & 2011b), or changing income (Diener, Oishi, & Tay 2011; Diener, Kahneman, Arora, Harper, & Tov, 2010; DiTella, Haisken-De New, & MacCulloch 2005; Hagerty & Veenhoven, 2003). Positive increases in LS were found over the course of treatment for those people who were in therapy (Pavot and Diener, 1993b).

Lucas and Donnellan (2007) analyzed the life satisfaction of people over time in large data sets from Germany (SOEP), the United Kingdom (BHPS), and Australia (HILDA) (see also Lucas, Clark, Georgellis, & Diener, 2003, 2004). For people experiencing changes in marital status, assault, disability, unemployment, or childbirth, people tend to react as expected to these conditions with increases or decreases in their life LS, and then they often slowly adapt back toward their former baseline over time. In sum, there is considerable evidence showing that LS scales reliably and validly reflect authentic differences in the ways people evaluate their lives, and the scores change in expected ways to changes in people’s circumstances.

Response Styles

Social desirability refers to the tendency for respondents in surveys to give responses that are normatively desirable. People sometimes report greater SWB when interviewed in a face-to-face survey rather than in an anonymous interview (King & Buckwald, 1982; Smith, 1979; Sudman, 1967). Because of social desirability concerns it is desirable that all respondents in a survey be interviewed using the same method. The influence of social desirability on LS is further explained in Diener, 2011.

Respondents usually report their life satisfaction on a numerical scale, and questions have been raised about the reliability of these numbers. One concern is whether the scale intervals are truly equal-interval in nature. However, Ferrer-i-Carbonell and Frijters (2004) and Frey and Stutzer (2000) found that treating data as cardinal (equal-interval) or ordinal made little difference in estimating the determinants of subjective well-being. Another issue is that different groups of respondents might use the number scale differently due to cultural differences. Finally, some respondents may use simple respondent such as choosing either extremes or the midpoint of the scale. These concerns are further explored in Diener, 2011. Using consistent methods throughout the entire survey for all respondents who are to be compared with each other will substantially help in reducing measurement error. Also, the impact of measurement error can be assessed by systematically varying aspects of the survey (e.g., see Agrawal & Harter, 2011; Deaton, 2011), as well as by assessing factors such as current mood that might influence the scores, and analyzing response tendencies.

Item Order and Framing Effects

Deaton (2011) reported an item-order effect in the Gallup Healthways Well-being Index, an ongoing daily telephone poll of the USA (see also Agrawal & Harter, 2011). In this study political questions sometimes came before the LS question. People’s LS scores were substantially lower when they followed the political questions. The political questions at the beginning of the interview may have induced respondents to think the survey was about people’s lives embedded in the context of societal and political affairs. Interspersing a buffer or transition question between the political questions and LS largely eliminated the item-order effect. Thus, item order effects might be due to altering respondents’ interpretation of the questions.

Mood Influences

A concern regarding temporary information used for life satisfaction is a person’s current mood. Schwarz et al. (1987) found that the outcome of German soccer game influenced people’s LS after the game, and also that people completing the life satisfaction survey in a pleasant room scored higher than those who completed it in an unpleasant setting.

It is important to note that the overlap of mood and LS is substantial for long-term average moods of the person, but usually there is a relatively weak effect for current mood predicting LS (Pavot & Diener, 1993a). However, long-term average mood and LS were strongly associated (.74), suggesting that similar factors influence both. At the same time, it might make psychological sense to include mood in satisfaction judgments. Schimmack, Diener, and Oishi (2002) found that some respondents report using their current mood, and see it as relevant. Current mood and LS were more associated for these participants than for those who reported not using it. Thus, individuals may access their moods in addition to important life domains when making LS judgments. The goal for survey researchers is to avoid collecting LS scores in such a way that current mood would add constant variance across respondents, but instead should collect mood on average days over time so that moods will at most add random error.

Societal Versus Personal Information

People’s life satisfaction includes factors over which policy makers have little influence, such as personality or the quality of an individual’s marriage. Do community and societal factors influence LS enough that measures of it can be used to inform policy? The differences between the lowest and highest nations in LS cover about half of the range of the scale. The d effect size here is 2.16. This indicates that society-wide conditions can have an enormous impact on LS. Deaton (2011) found that during the recession year of 2008, when there was great uncertainty and problems with many economies, life evaluations in the USA fell about 10 percent of the full range of the scale.
Policy Use of the Scales Value Added

What does life satisfaction add to current indicators? Some societal circumstances are known to affect LS but are not captured well by economic indicators. Economic indicators often omit environmental factors which can impact LS. For instance, Luechinger (2009) found in a quasi-experimental study that respondents’ LS improved when smokestack pollution in their area decreased. Life satisfaction data can aid in giving a more complete picture of economic development and the desirability of ecological interventions.

In the health area, life satisfaction scores can help estimate the burden of illnesses and quality adjusted life years (Dolan, 2007). Further, they can help estimate caregiver burden and the resources that are reasonable to alleviate this. Thus, LS scores of illness groups and their caregivers can help in the allocation of health and research resources.

Even if the life satisfaction scales were to overlap strongly or completely with other measures, there are good reasons to employ them. First, they show that certain conditions do indeed affect well-being. For instance, some economists might assume that unemployment is voluntary because people could find a job if they truly wanted to and were willing to accept an available job, even though we know unemployment often has a strong negative effect on people’s LS. Life satisfaction scores can reveal the weights that people give to various circumstances and aspects of life.

The life satisfaction scores also can provide information to the public and to policy makers that might not be clear to them. For instance, long and difficult commuting appears to lead to lower LS (see Diener, Lucas, Schimmack, and Helliwell, 2009, for a review). People might think of commuting as a necessity that allows them and their families to live in a much more desirable location. What might not be self-evident to them is that commuting has a substantial social cost that may not be readily apparent—increased risk of divorce (Sadow, 2011), lower LS, as well as increased stress and loneliness. Thus, LS scores can serve an educational purpose.

In sum, the life satisfaction scores add information that other measures often do not provide. Furthermore, even if the LS scores can be predicted by other measures there are very good reasons to include them. They do not replace other indicators but usefully supplement them.

Conclusions

There is now a large literature pointing to the validity of life satisfaction measures and the fact that they contain substantial information about how respondents evaluate their lives. Although there are instances of artifacts that create error of measurement in LS scales, these can usually be controlled in well-conducted surveys.

Life satisfaction scores are influenced both by personal factors in people’s lives such as their marriage and work, and by community and societal circumstances. Thus, LS can provide an added window on what is going well or badly in a society, as experienced by the citizens themselves. Importantly, there are specific instances where life satisfaction scores can help illuminate current policy debates. Therefore, it is desirable that societies adopt measures of life satisfaction to supplement current economic and social indicators.
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Registration
Registration for the 11th Conference of ISQOLS will open soon. For convenience, you will be able to complete the entire process through the ISQOLS website www.isqols.org, payment included.

Call for Papers
The major changes that the world is going through concerning environmental, social, economic, financial, demographic and technological issues are raising far reaching questions of how to keep improving people’s quality of life. The so-called “financial crisis” is putting people’s quality of life at risk in many countries, cutting their jobs and threatening their welfare, including deteriorations in access to healthcare, culture, education and training.

At the same time, some regions and countries worldwide are improving in a quite visible way in terms of both economic and financial terms as well as in their quality of life in general. For these societies, though, the task at hands is no easier in some sense, as they face quality of life challenges not anticipated previously, such as crowding and other environmental hazards. In some of these countries, there are additional quality of life barriers, as inequality may have been rising for the past decade. Civic participation and democratic rights are also issues that jeopardize the improvement of quality of life in some of the “emergent” economies.
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